doi:10.15171/ijer.2018.12

2018 Spring;5(2):55-59

http://ijer.skums.ac.ir



Original Article

Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection and Associated Effective Factors During Pregnancy in Shahrekord, Iran

Mehri Rejali¹, Seyede Soghra Ahmadi^{1*}

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

Background and aims: This study was conducted to determine the prevalence of urinary tract infection (UTI) in the pregnant women and the associated risk factors in Shahrekord, Iran.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 832 pregnant women were examined up to 26 to 30 weeks of pregnancy and their UTIs were studied. The required information was collected by examining the health records of pregnant women and completion of the data registration forms. All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 23.0 using chi-square and independent t test.

Results: According to the results of this study on 832 participants, 109 of them were diagnosed with UTI, and prevalence of UTI was recorded to be 13.1% in this study. There was a significant relationship between urinary infection and variables of delivery, pregnancy severe vomiting, genitourinary problems, infertility, and blood group.

Conclusion: According to the results of this study, screening and treatment of UTIs have been on time and appropriate in health systems of Shahrekord city which have led to the reduction of infant and maternal diseases. Even in the conditions that there is no UTI, continuing the process for screening and treatment is recommended.

Keywords: Urinary tract infection, Risk factor, Cross-sectional study

Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common medical problems in pregnancy.¹ It is estimated that one in three women in childbearing age will be diagnosed with UTI.² Pregnant women are susceptible to UTIs due to the normal physiologic changes which occur during pregnancy.

UTI is diagnosed by the presence of pathogens in uncontaminated urine samples. These pathogens are able to attack to the urinary tract tissues and the related structures.³ Infection may be limited to the growth of bacteria in the urine (most of them are asymptomatic) and/or can result in several syndromes in relation to an inflammatory response to the bacterial invasion. In fact, UTI has a vast range of situations including asymptomatic UTI, urethritis, cystitis, acute pyelonephritis and pyelonephritis with bacteremia or sepsis.⁴

The prevalence of asymptomatic forms of UTI among countries has remained constant. Many recent observational studies have similarly estimated a prevalence of 2% to 10%, similar to its prevalence in non-pregnant women.^{2,5} This infection gets more than 20% of pregnant women in trouble and is the cause of

*Corresponding Author: Seyede Soghra Ahmadi, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran Tel: 09136743820;

Email: ahmadi2744@yahoo.com

Received: 18 August 2017 Accepted: 12 December 2017 ePublished: 24 April 2018



most important acceptances after delivery by maternalneonatal health sectors.²

Several studies have shown the relationship of the UTIs during pregnancy with maternal and prenatal adverse consequences. Some other studies could not show this relationship.⁶⁻⁸ Instability in the results of these studies could be due to the selection bias, low statistical power, and inadequate controls for the potential confounders.⁹

Today, the issue of whether treatment of UTI can reduce maternal and neonatal complications is discussed.¹⁰ A number of studies have found that antimicrobial treatment of UTIs does not lead to the reduction in maternal and neonatal complications in addition to imposing a heavy cost to the society.¹⁰⁻¹²

Since prevention of any disorder requires understanding of effective factors in its creation, and since few studies are done on risk factors of UTI in Shahrekord city, this study was conducted to determine the prevalence of UTI in the pregnant women and the risk factors related to it in Shahrekord.

Methods

This cross-sectional study intended to investigate the

^{© 2018} The Author(s); Published by Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

prevalence of and effective factors on UTI in pregnant women covered by health centers of Shahrekord city.

In this study, the data were collected and recorded since the beginning of the study and provided for the researchers. The controls were selected from the subjects of the study who did not suffer from the UTI. The study population consisted of all pregnant women who had referred to health centers across Shahrekord during the first 6 months of 2011 and their medical records were complete (n: 1027). The inclusion criteria in this study were: no history of gestational diabetes, no drug consumption, chronic diseases like asthma and thyroid, being under the supervision of physicians,¹³⁻¹⁵ having recorded pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), being visited at 6-10 weeks of gestation for doing their routine urine test at the first visit and regular BMI measurements. The exclusion criteria were: incomplete data on the variables. In this study, 832 patients were examined up to 26 to 30 weeks of pregnancy and their UTIs were studied.

According to the ministerial instruction of integrated care program, all the pregnant women who refer to health centers are routinely referred to the laboratories for urinalysis at 26 to 30 weeks of pregnancy.

The required information was collected by reviewing the health records of pregnant women and completed data recording checklists.

The data included demographic variables (age, education, job, blood group, rhesus factor [RF], and BMI), current pregnancy status (multi-fetal pregnancy, unwanted pregnancy, an interval of less than 3 years between two pregnancies, spotting problems, genitourinary pre-pregnancy care, severe vomiting, and infertility), history or current risk of renal disease, diabetes, and hypertension, and history of pregnancy (either abortion or delivery).

In this study, the individuals with UTIs were assigned to the case group. UTI was diagnosed by growth of at least 100000 colony-forming units of a urinary tract pathogen per 1 mL in a culture of a midstream urine sample.^{14,15} The individuals who did not suffer from UTIs were considered as controls.

All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS (version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) using descriptive statistics (mean \pm SD). Chi-square test was used to compare qualitative data and independent *t* test was used to compare quantitative data. *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

According to the results of this cross-sectional study on 832 individuals, 109 of them were diagnosed with UTI, and prevalence of UTI was recorded to be 13.1% in this

study.

The average age of patients with and without UTI were 28.4 ± 5.12 and 27.97 ± 4.84 respectively, and the age difference was not statistically significant (P=0.481).

The mean BMI for women with infection was $25.31\pm$ 3.8 and for women without urinary infection was 24.69 \pm 3.8. This difference was not statistically significant (*P*=0.121).

There were no significant relationships between UTIs and the variables of age, RH, BMI, maternal educational level and job, multi-fetal pregnancy, spotting, unwanted pregnancy, abortions, pre-pregnancy care, genitourinary problems, the interval of less than 3 years between two pregnancies, renal disease, infertility, preeclampsia, and diabetes.

There were significant differences in delivery, pregnancy severe vomiting, genitourinary problems, infertility, and blood group between the two groups (Tables 1 and 2).

Discussion

This study was conducted to determine the prevalence of UTIs and associated risk factors in pregnant women. UTIs in the pregnant women in our study was 13.1%. Incidence of UTIs during pregnancy has been reported to be 28.5% in Pakistan,¹⁶ 48.5% in Nigeria,¹⁷ and 30% in Yemen.¹⁸

Dysuria, urinary frequency, and pain in lower abdomen are the clinical signs of UTI.¹⁴ Consistently, in this study, 28.6% of women in the group with UTI and 71.4% of women in the group without UTI presented these symptoms with a statistically significant difference (P=0.011). One study reported a difference in these symptoms between two groups, which was not statistically significant.¹⁹

Some of the studies have reported the correlation between UTIs and preeclampsia.^{12,13,20} The direct impact of destruction of vascular endothelium which leads to the dysfunction and hardness of blood vessels and microorganisms on the walls of blood vessels is mechanism of development of preeclampsia in women suffering from UTI.²¹ However, there was no statistically significant difference in the development of preeclampsia between infected and non-infected cohorts (P=1), which is consistent with the studies of Aalijahan et al and Gilstrap & Ramin.^{19,22}

In this study, severe vomiting in pregnancy was significantly associated with UTI (P=0.005). However, this factor was not derived significant in the study of Aalijahan et al.¹⁹ Severe vomiting can lead to decreased fluid intake by the mother during pregnancy and therefore the urinary tract function is affected. Thus, decrease in urination leads to the accumulation of urine in the urinary tract in the long term and paves the way

Table 1. Evaluation of Demographic Variables on the Incidence of Urinary Tract Infection

Chamataniatian af Matha		Cases (n = 109)	Controls $(n = 723)$	P Value ^a	
Characteristics of Mother	rs	UTI	NO UTI		
Age (mean±SD)		27.97 ± 4.84	28.34 ± 5.12	0.481	
Blood group	А	34 (12.4)	240 (87.6)	0.008	
	В	24 (15.5)	131 (84.5)		
	AB	5 (11.6)	38 (88.4)		
	0	46 (12.8)	314 (87.2)		
RH	Positive	102 (13.2)	670 (86.8)	0.045	
	Negative	7 (11.7)	53 (88.3)	0.845	
Job	Housewife	86 (13)	578 (87)	0.798	
	Employee	23 (13.7)	145 (86.3)		
Education	Guidance	19 (13.4)	123 (86.6)		
	Secondary	38 (11.8)	285 (88.2)	0.64	
	Collegiate	52 (14.2)	315 (85.8)		
ВМІ	Thin	7 (21.9)	25 (78.1)		
	Normal	53 (13.4)	342 (86.6)	0.216	
	Over weight	38 (13.1)	251 (86.9)	0.316	
	Obese	11 (9.5)	105 (90.5)		

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation; UTI, Urinary tract infection.

Values are presented as number (%).

^a Comparison of cases with controls (*t* test, chi-square test).

Table 2. Evaluation of Current Pregnancy Status, History of Previous Pregnancies, and History or Current Risk of Illnesses on the Incidence of Urinary Tract Infection

Chamatariatian		Cases (n = 109)	Controls (n = 723)	P Value ^a
Characteristics		UTI	NO UTI	
Delivery (mean ± SD)		0.68 ±0.804	0.47±0.68	0.008
Multi fotal programa	Yes	1 (11.1)	8 (88.9)	0.666
Multi-fetal pregnancy	No	108 (13.1)	715 (86.9)	
Linuarian program at	Yes	3 (12)	2288)	0.581
Unwanted pregnancy	No	106 (13.1)	701 (86.9)	
Previous pregnancy (an interval of less than 3 yea	Yes	7 (12.5)	49 (87.5)	1.00
Previous pregnancy (an interval of less than 3 yea	No	102 (13.1)	674 (86.9)	
Spotting problems	Yes	3 (23.1)	10 (76.9)	0.236
Spotting problems	No	106 (12.9)	713 (87.1)	0.236
Genitourinary problems (burning, itching and	Yes	10 (28.6)	25 (71.4)	0.011
discharge pus)	No	99 (12.4)	698 (87.6)	
Course and the s	Yes	10 (34.5)	19 (65.5)	0.002
Severe vomiting	No	99 (12.3)	704 (87.7)	
	Yes	18 (10.5)	153 (89.5)	0.263
Pre-pregnancy care	No	91 (13.8)	570 (86.2)	
	Yes	5 (25)	15 (75)	0.108
Infertility	No	104 (12.8)	708 (87.2)	
Alexation	Yes	17 (11.3)	133 (88.7)	0.288
Abortion	No	92 (13.5)	590 (86.5)	
	Yes	1 (20)	4 (80)	0.505
Renal disease	No	108 (13.1)	719 (86.9)	
Diskates	Yes	0 (0)	5 (100)	0.405
Diabetes	No	109 (13.2)	718 (86.8)	0.495
	Yes	2 (25)	6 (75)	0.202
Eclampsia	No	107 (13)	717 (87)	0.282

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation; UTI, Urinary tract infection.

Values are presented as number (%).

^a Comparison of cases with controls (*t* test, chi-square test).

for infection.23

Parity is significantly associated with UTI in pregnancy, as it has been frequently reported to be a factor for significant increase of UTIs.^{24,25} The relationship between UTI and parity is due to the physiological changes that occur in the UTI during pregnancy.²⁶ In this study, delivery had a significant relationship with UTI. Therefore, the results of this study are inconsistent with the results of Hamdan et al on UTI in Sudan,²⁷ or Masinde et al in Tanzania,²⁸ Turpin et al in Ghana,²⁹ and Hazhir on asymptomatic UTIs among pregnant women in Iran.³⁰ However, it is in agreement with the studies conducted by Okonko in Nigeria,¹⁷ Kalantar et al on asymptomatic UTI in pregnant women,³¹ and Haider et al in Pakistan.⁸

In this study, the interval less than three years had no significant correlation with UTI (P=1). Undermining food supplies of pregnant women due to pregnancy and not having adequate time for reconstructing body supplies lead to lack of essential nutrients and therefore, lack of mothers' resistance to infections.³² In many cases with the interval less than three years between two pregnancies, pregnancy care begins with delay due to unplanned pregnancy, high maternal engagement in taking care of previous children, and lack of awareness of menstrual arrears due to irregularities or amenorrhea in the first few months after childbirth.³² In the study of Aalijahan et al, a relationship between UTI and 3-year interval between pregnancies was reported.¹⁹

Because of screening the women for UTIs in 6-10 weeks before pregnancy, they do not receive any proper care or receive only preliminary care before pregnancy, which might increase the risk of UTI in these women. However, there was no statistically significant difference between pre-pregnancy care and acquisition of UTI in this study (P=0.263).

In addition, no significant correlation was observed between the age of pregnant women and acquisition of UTI. Similar findings were obtained in the studies of Haider et al,⁸ Okonko et al,¹⁷ Sescon et al,²⁴ and Aalijahan et al.¹⁹ However, there was a significant correlation between age and UTI in ths study of Vessey et al.³³

Conclusion

According to the results of this study, screening and treatment of UTIs have been on time and appropriate in health systems of Shahrekord city which have led to the reduction of infant and maternal diseases. Even in the conditions that there is no UTI, continuing the process for screening and treatment is recommended.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

None.

Acknowledgments

Hereby we would like to express our especial thanks to the Research Council of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, co-vice president of health care in Chahar-Mahal and Bakhtiari and especially to Shahrekord health center staff for their unwavering support in this study.

References

- 1. Mittal P, Wing DA. Urinary tract infections in pregnancy. Clin Perinatol. 2005;32(3):749-64. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2005.05.006.
- Duarte G, Marcolin AC, Quintana SM, Cavalli RC. [Urinary tract infection in pregnancy]. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2008;30(2):93-100.
- Sheffield JS, Cunningham FG. Urinary Tract Infection in Women. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2005;106(5):1085-92. doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000185257.52328.a2.
- DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Posey LM. Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2011.
- Bahadi A, El Kabbaj D, Elfazazi H, Abbi R, Hafidi M, Hassani M, et al. Urinary tract infection in pregnancy. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2010;21(2):342-4.
- Gayathree L, Shetty S, Deshpande SR, Venkatesha DT. Screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: an evaluation of various screening tests at the Hassan district hospital, India. J Clin Diagn Res. 2010;4(4):2702-6.
- Jayalakshmi J, Jayaram VS. Evaluation of various screening tests to detect asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 2008;51(3):379-81.
- Haider G, Zehra N, Munir AA, Haider A. Risk factors of urinary tract infection in pregnancy. J Pak Med Assoc. 2010;60(3):213-6.
- 9. Lee M, Bozzo P, Einarson A, Koren G. Urinary tract infections in pregnancy. Can Fam Physician. 2008;54(6):853-4.
- Corton MM, Leveno K, Bloom S, Hauth J, Rouse D, Spong C. Williams Obstetrics. 23th ed. NewYork: McGraw-Hill Professional; 2009.
- Cleves MA, Malik S, Yang S, Carter TC, Hobbs CA. Maternal urinary tract infections and selected cardiovascular malformations. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol. 2008;82(6):464-73. doi: 10.1002/bdra.20460.
- Mazor-Dray E, Levy A, Schlaeffer F, Sheiner E. Maternal urinary tract infection: is it independently associated with adverse pregnancy outcome? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2009;22(2):124-8. doi: 10.1080/14767050802488246.
- Banhidy F, Acs N, Puho EH, Czeizel AE. Pregnancy complications and birth outcomes of pregnant women with urinary tract infections and related drug treatments. Scand J Infect Dis. 2007;39(5):390-7. doi: 10.1080/00365540601087566.
- 14. Pereira EF, Figueiro-Filho EA, Oliveira VM, Fernandes ACO, Moura Fe CS, Coelho LR, et al. Urinary tract infection in high risk pregnant women (Infecção Do Trato Urinário Em Gestantes De Alto Risco). Revista de Patologia Tropical / Journal of Tropical Pathology. 2013;42(1):21-9. doi: 10.5216/rpt.v42i1.23590.
- Blomberg B, Olsen BE, Hinderaker SG, Langeland N, Gasheka P, Jureen R, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in urinary bacterial isolates from pregnant women in rural Tanzania: implications for public health. Scand J Infect Dis. 2005;37(4):262-8. doi: 10.1080/00365540410021045.
- Sheikh MA, Khan MS, Khatoon A, Arain GM. Incidence of urinary tract infection during pregnancy. East Mediterr Health J. 2000;6(2-3):265-71.
- Okonko IO, Ijandipe LA, Ilusanya OA, Donbraye-Emmanuel OB, Ejembi J, Udeze AO, et al. Incidence of urinary tract infection (UTI) among Pregnant women in Ibadan, South-Western Nigeria. Afr J Biotechnol. 2009;8(23):6649-57.

- Al-Haddad AM. Urinary tract infection among pregnant women in Al-Mukalla district, Yemen. East Mediterr Health J. 2005;11(3):505-10.
- 19. Alijahan R, Hazrati S, Mirzarahimi M, Pourfarzi F, Ahmadi Hadi P. Prevalence and risk factors associated with preterm birth in Ardabil, Iran. Iran J Reprod Med. 2014;12(1):47-56.
- 20. Sheiner E, Mazor-Drey E, Levy A. Asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2009;22(5):423-7. doi: 10.1080/14767050802360783.
- 21. Berard A, Santos F, Ferreira E, Perreault S. Urinary tract infection during pregnancy. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2002;19:522-38.
- 22. Gilstrap LC 3rd, Ramin SM. Urinary tract infections during pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2001;28(3):581-91.
- Beetz R. Mild dehydration: a risk factor of urinary tract infection? Eur J Clin Nutr. 2003;57 Suppl 2:S52-8. doi: 10.1038/ sj.ejcn.1601902.
- Sescon NI, Garingalao-Molina FD, Ycasiano CE, Saniel MC, Manalastas RM. Prevalance of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Associated Risk Factors in Pregnant Women. Phil J Microbial Infect Dis. 2003;32:63-9.
- 25. Cram LF, Zapata MI, Toy EC, Baker B, 3rd. Genitourinary infections and their association with preterm labor. Am Fam Physician. 2002;65(2):241-8.
- 26. Nandy P, Thakur AR, Ray Chaudhuri S. Characterization of bacterial strains isolated through microbial profiling of urine

samples. Online J Biol Sci. 2007;7(1):44-51. doi: 10.3844/ ojbsci.2007.44.51.

- 27. Hamdan HZ, Ziad AH, Ali SK, Adam I. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections and antibiotics sensitivity among pregnant women at Khartoum North Hospital. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2011;10:2. doi: 10.1186/1476-0711-10-2.
- Masinde A, Gumodoka B, Kilonzo A, Mshana SE. Prevalence of urinary tract infection among pregnant women at Bugando Medical Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania. Tanzan J Health Res. 2009;11(3):154-9.
- 29. Turpin C, Minkah B, Danso K, Frimpong E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at komfo anokye teaching hospital, kumasi, ghana. Ghana Med J. 2007;41(1):26-9.
- Hazhir S. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. Urol J. 2007;4(1):24-7.
- Kalantar E, Farhadifar F, Nikkho B. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women referred to outpatient clinics in Sanandaj, Iran. Int Braz J Urol. 2008;34(6):699-704.
- Klerman LV, Cliver SP, Goldenberg RL. The impact of short interpregnancy intervals on pregnancy outcomes in a lowincome population. Am J Public Health. 1998;88(8):1182-5.
- Vessey MP, Medcalfe MA, McPherson K, Yeates D. Urinary tract infection in relation to diaphragm use and obesity. Int J Epidemiol. 1987;16(3):441-484.

How to cite the article: Rejali M, Ahmadi SS. Prevalence of urinary tract infection and associated effective factors during pregnancy in Shahrekord, Iran. Int J Epidemiol Res. 2018;5(2):55-59. doi: 10.15171/ijer.2018.12.